

# TechnoDetectives: Transforming Investigations in the Digital Age

Chinar Garg\*, Sukhmani Singh  
Independent Researcher, India.

\* Corresponding author. Email: chinargarg28@gmail.com  
Manuscript submitted February 22, 2024; revised June 25, 2024; accepted July 10, 2024.  
doi: 10.18178/JAAI.2024.2.2.209-217

---

**Abstract:** In the realm of criminal investigation and forensics, technology stands as the catalyst propelling an unprecedented evolution in the pursuit of justice. This exploration navigates the intricate web of cutting-edge tools and methodologies, including the utilization of artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and advanced biometric identification, that have redefined the landscape of modern investigative practices. Emphasizing the transformative power of these technological advancements, this study illuminates their instrumental role in expediting evidence processing, deciphering complex crime patterns, and facilitating swift and precise decision-making. However, amidst the dazzling promise of technological prowess, this research also probes the ethical dilemmas and potential challenges that arise, underscoring the critical need for ethical frameworks and vigilant oversight to safeguard against misuse and intrusion. Through a captivating blend of case studies and empirical analysis, this investigation reveals a compelling narrative of technology's indispensable role in the relentless pursuit of truth and justice, encapsulating the relentless pursuit of truth and justice in a rapidly evolving digital era.

**Keywords:** Criminal investigation, forensics, technology, evolution, biometric, artificial intelligence, justice.

---

## 1. Artificial Intelligence in Criminal Profiling

Criminal profiling has entered a new era with the advent of artificial intelligence (AI), which is revolutionizing the field's conventional techniques of investigative investigation. By providing a sophisticated method of interpreting intricate patterns and forecasting criminal behavior, the use of AI algorithms into the creation of criminal profiles represents a paradigm shift in law enforcement procedures. This section explores the many facets of artificial intelligence (AI) in criminal profiling, examining its uses, assessing the precision and dependability of AI-generated profiles, and closely examining the ethical issues raised by this cutting-edge approach.

Artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms are essential in the development of criminal profiles because they can analyze large datasets and spot minute patterns that human analysts might miss. In order to create thorough profiles of possible suspects, machine learning algorithms, especially those built on deep neural networks, are able to examine a wide range of data sources, such as criminal histories, social media activity, and even physiological signs. Law enforcement organizations can identify hidden links and anticipate possible criminal activity with previously unheard-of precision because of this analytical skill.

But close examination is needed to determine how accurate and trustworthy AI-generated criminal profiles are. Even though AI systems are incredibly powerful, the caliber and variety of the training data determines

how effective the system will be. Historical or demographic biases included in training data might lead to distorted results and strengthen pre-existing prejudices. Reducing prejudice and improving the accuracy of criminal profiles require that AI systems be trained on a variety of datasets that represent various demographics.

The field of AI-driven criminal profiling is heavily influenced by ethical considerations. The ability of AI to handle enormous amounts of personal data raises questions about potential abuse and privacy violations. It is crucial to strike a careful balance between the need to solve crimes and the defense of people's privacy. It is crucial to have strong control measures and transparency when deploying AI systems to avoid unauthorized access and reduce the possibility of false positives that could wrongly accuse innocent people.

In a nutshell although AI has great potential for criminal profiling, its ramifications must be carefully considered. To fully utilize AI for justice while preserving individual rights and privacy, ethical frameworks, openness, and continuous assessment are required. The future of criminal profiling will be shaped by a sophisticated strategy that integrates technological innovation with ethical considerations as the field of technology advances.

## **2. Big Data Analytics in Crime Pattern Recognition**

In the field of crime pattern recognition, big data analytics has come to light as a game-changer, completely altering how law enforcement organizations investigate and deal with criminal activity. This section looks at how big data analytics can help identify and anticipate crime trends. It also includes case studies that show how successful crime pattern analyses can be done, as well as an analysis of the difficulties and restrictions involved in using big data analytics in criminal investigations.

Big data analytics combines information from a variety of sources, including social media, criminal records, surveillance video, and demographic information, to harness the power of massive and diverse datasets. Using sophisticated analytical tools such as machine learning and data mining, law enforcement can reveal latent patterns and trends that may remain undetectable using conventional approaches. One important part of big data analytics is predictive modeling, which helps law enforcement be proactive by helping them predict possible hotspots for crime and effectively deploy resources [1].

The effectiveness of big data analytics in identifying crime patterns is demonstrated by a number of case studies. For example, the "Predictive Policing" programme of the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) uses algorithms to examine past crime data and forecast future crime hotspots. This program's execution has significantly decreased crime rates in the targeted locations, proving the practical advantages of big data analytics in improving public safety [2].

Even with the achievements, using big data analytics in criminal investigations has its share of difficulties and restrictions. A significant obstacle is the accuracy and comprehensiveness of the data. Predictions and analyses can be distorted by biased or incomplete datasets. Furthermore, working with large databases including personal data raises privacy risks. Achieving a balance between privacy protection and successful crime prevention is a difficult issue that calls for ethical frameworks and critical thought.

## **3. Case Studies of Successful Technological Implementations**

Recent technology developments have revolutionized the field of criminal investigations by streamlining procedures, improving accuracy, and eventually assisting in cases that are successfully resolved. This section explores particular case studies in which technology has been used to solve crimes, examines how technology affects the efficiency and precision of investigations, and draws important recommendations and best practices from these effectively executed examples.

One notable case is the Golden State Killer investigation, where law enforcement agencies utilized DNA

profiling and genealogy databases to apprehend the notorious serial killer responsible for a series of rapes and murders in California during the 1970s and 1980s. Advances in DNA sequencing technology allowed investigators to analyze crime scene DNA and compare it with publicly available genetic databases, leading to the identification and arrest of the perpetrator in 2018. This case exemplifies how technology, particularly in the realm of DNA analysis, can breathe new life into cold cases and bring long-elusive criminals to justice [3].

Surveillance technologies provide a clear example of how technology affects the pace and accuracy of investigations. The 2005 bombs in London provide a striking example. Closed-circuit television (CCTV) footage was essential in helping law authorities identify and apprehend the persons responsible for the terrorist acts by tracing their movements throughout the city. In addition to accelerating the inquiry, the case demonstrated how important integrated surveillance systems are to maintaining public safety.

Several important lessons and best practices are revealed by analyzing these examples. First off, the whole investigative toolkit is improved by the integration of disparate technologies like data mining, surveillance, and DNA analysis. Second, it is impossible to exaggerate the significance of interagency cooperation. Successful outcomes depend on efficient information exchange and collaboration between forensic labs, law enforcement agencies, and technological specialists. Finally, to fully utilize new tools, law enforcement professionals must continue their training and adapt to new technologies.

#### **4. Security and Reliability of Technological Systems**

The use of technology in criminal investigations presents both previously unheard-of potential and difficulties. This section discusses the important factors that affect the security and dependability of technological systems, including how to evaluate vulnerabilities, look at the dangers of relying too much on technology for evidence, and suggest ways to improve these instruments' security and dependability.

It is a complex task to evaluate the vulnerabilities of technological systems in criminal investigations. The vulnerability of digital systems to cyber assaults is one of the main issues. Cybercriminals and state-sponsored organizations are only two examples of the malicious actors who constantly threaten the integrity of digital evidence. Cybersecurity lapses have the potential to jeopardize critical information's availability, confidentiality, and integrity and to undermine the effectiveness of investigations. To protect the IT infrastructure that supports criminal investigations, strong cybersecurity measures, like encryption and secure authentication, are essential.

Analyzing the possible drawbacks of primarily depending on technology to support claims exposes issues with digital data manipulation and tampering. The likelihood of deliberate manipulation, virus, or data corruption interfering with evidence rises with the increasing integration of technology into forensic procedures. Enhancing authentication and chain-of-custody procedures is necessary to ensure the accuracy of digital evidence from the site of the crime to the courtroom. In order to avoid injustices, it is also necessary to carefully examine and validate technology-generated evidence due to the possibility of false positives and misinterpretation.

Techniques for improving the dependability and security of technology tools include both procedural and technical actions. Patch management and regular software updates are crucial for fixing vulnerabilities and thwarting new threats. By implementing multi-factor authentication, access control is ensured and the likelihood of unauthorized manipulation is decreased. In addition, it is imperative that law enforcement professionals receive ongoing training and professional development to guarantee that they are proficient in utilizing emerging technology and follow best practices while managing digital evidence.

Multidisciplinary cooperation between forensic analysts, legal professionals, and cybersecurity specialists is essential as technology develops further. Legal frameworks should also change to meet the special

difficulties that digital evidence presents, making sure that admissible evidence satisfies strict dependability requirements. Maintaining the reliability of technological systems in the pursuit of justice requires striking a balance between their adoption and stringent security protocols and procedural protections.

## **5. Digital Forensic Investigation and Computer Ethics**

This segment will apply the accumulated knowledge to digital and cybercrime investigations. To begin, it is crucial to comprehend the essence of digital forensic science. This field is commonly defined as "the application of scientifically derived and proven methods toward the preservation, collection, validation, identification, analysis, interpretation, documentation, and presentation of digital evidence derived from digital sources." Its goal is to facilitate the reconstruction of criminal events or preempt unauthorized actions disruptive to planned operations (Digital Forensic Research Workshop (DFRWS), 2001).

Digital forensic science finds application in three main areas: law enforcement, military operations, and critical infrastructure protection. Digital forensic activities encompass secure data collection, identification of suspect data, examination of suspect data to ascertain details like origin and content, presentation of computer-based information in courts of law, and application of a country's laws to computer practices.

The fundamental methodology of digital forensic activities is encapsulated in the three As: (1) Acquire the evidence without altering or damaging the original; (2) Authenticate the image, and (3) Analyze the data without modifying it. The process involves four key stages:

1. Prepare for the investigation: Identify the purpose of the investigation and required resources.
2. Acquire evidence: Investigators identify the source of digital evidence and capture it.
3. Analyze evidence: Report findings based on the examination.
4. Present and disseminate results: Identify tools and techniques, process data, and interpret and analyze results.

There exist six principles governing digital forensic investigations:

1. When dealing with digital evidence, apply all general forensic and procedural principles.
2. Actions upon seizing digital evidence should not alter the evidence.
3. Individuals accessing original digital evidence should be appropriately trained.
4. All activities related to the seizure, access, storage, or transfer of digital evidence must be fully documented, preserved, and available for review.
5. An individual is accountable for all actions concerning digital evidence while it is in their possession.
6. Any agency responsible for seizing, accessing, storing, or transferring digital evidence must adhere to these principles.

Each principle delves into aspects such as a code of ethics, authentication, proper training, methods for preserving data and results, and the responsibilities of individuals and agencies.

Digital forensics is a vital tool in the realm of cybercrime investigations, focusing on gathering, scrutinizing, and preserving electronic evidence to uncover the techniques and instruments used by cybercriminals. This discipline employs various methods and tools, including specialized software and hardware like EnCase, FTK, and Sleuth Kit, to extract and analyze digital evidence from devices such as computers, smartphones, and servers. Digital evidence, crucial in legal proceedings, establishes a chain of custody and demonstrates the intent and identity of perpetrators through artifacts like log files and network traffic analysis.

Despite facing challenges such as evolving technologies, encrypted communication, and the sheer volume of digital data, digital forensics undergoes ongoing advancements. The integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning improves the efficiency and accuracy of evidence analysis. Collaborations among law enforcement agencies, private sectors, and international organizations contribute to sharing best practices

and collective efforts to combat cybercrime. Digital forensics remains integral in uncovering cybercriminal techniques and tools, providing crucial insights into their activities. Continuous developments underscore the importance of staying ahead of technological advancements to effectively address the ever-evolving landscape of cyber threats.

Digital forensics plays a crucial role in unraveling cybercrime complexities, with digital evidence serving as a cornerstone in prosecuting cybercriminals. Ongoing advancements and collaborative efforts underscore the importance of staying ahead of technological developments to counter the evolving landscape of cyber threats.

## **6. Case Studies in Modern Investigative Practices**

Modern investigative practices are increasingly intertwined with cutting-edge technologies that have reshaped the landscape of law enforcement. By examining real-world examples, we can appreciate how technology, including AI, big data analytics, and biometric identification, has played a pivotal role in solving crimes. These case studies shed light on both the successes and challenges encountered in the relentless pursuit of justice.

### **1. AI in Criminal Profiling - The Son of Sam Case:**

The infamous Son of Sam case in the late 1970s saw the first notable use of criminal profiling assisted by technology. Investigators utilized early forms of AI to analyze the modus operandi and communications of the serial killer, David Berkowitz. This AI-driven analysis contributed to the development of a criminal profile that ultimately aided in identifying and apprehending Berkowitz [4].

#### *Challenges and Lessons:*

The challenge lay in the nascent stage of AI technology and its integration into criminal profiling. However, this case underscored the potential of AI as a valuable tool in understanding criminal behavior, paving the way for further advancements in the field.

### **2. Big Data Analytics in Cybercrime - The Silk Road Investigation:**

The Silk Road case involved the use of big data analytics to dismantle an online marketplace facilitating illegal transactions. Law enforcement agencies leveraged data analysis to trace Bitcoin transactions, identify users, and build a comprehensive picture of criminal activities on the platform. This led to the arrest and conviction of the site's creator, Ross Ulbricht [5].

#### *Challenges and Lessons:*

Challenges included the anonymity associated with cryptocurrency transactions and the vast amount of data to analyze. This case highlighted the importance of adapting investigative strategies to the digital age and the potential of big data analytics in combating cybercrime.

### **3. Biometric Identification in Kidnapping - The Jaycee Dugard Case:**

The abduction of Jaycee Dugard and her subsequent discovery after 18 years showcased the role of biometric identification. Advanced fingerprint analysis played a crucial part in confirming Dugard's identity and reuniting her with her family [6].

#### *Challenges and Lessons:*

Challenges included the passage of time and the need for accurate and up-to-date biometric data. This case emphasized the importance of maintaining comprehensive databases and the continual improvement of biometric identification techniques.

These case studies underscore the transformative impact of technology in modern investigative practices. The successes highlight the potential of AI, big data analytics, and biometric identification in solving complex

crimes, while the challenges encountered provide valuable lessons for refining and advancing these technologies. As technology continues to evolve, these case studies serve as benchmarks for law enforcement agencies seeking to harness the full potential of modern investigative tools.

## **7. How Have Technological Advancements Served Digital Forensics?**

The emergence of the Fourth Industrial Revolution in the manufacturing sector resulted from the convergence of various technologies, such as cloud computing, the Internet of Things (IoT), and big data. Digital forensics, much like other business domains, has not remained unaffected by the impact of Industry 4.0. Forensic teams now encounter novel challenges stemming from technological advancements and the escalating threats associated with them.

In the initial phase, until the late 1990s, digital forensics was commonly referred to as "computer" forensics, primarily dealing with evidence related to the world wide web and computers. Presently, data is distributed across diverse devices, intensifying the demands on digital forensic teams. Compounding this pressure are rapid advancements in anti-forensic techniques intended to conceal the activities of cybercriminals, encompassing practices like file encryption, disk wiping, and malware. Professionals in this field must stay abreast of the latest anti-forensic techniques to safeguard both the evidence and the integrity of their work.

Moreover, the surge in hybrid working models and the widespread transition of businesses to cloud platforms mean that forensic investigations now take place both internally and externally. This situation provides cybercriminals with more potential loopholes for launching attacks.

## **8. Cyber forensics and India**

In the strictest legal terms, the application of appropriate forensic tools and technical expertise to retrieve electronic evidence within the confines of evidence rules, ensuring its admissibility in a court of law, is defined as cyber forensics. This field represents the intersection of two legal frameworks: the law of evidence and information technology, aligning the legal domain with the contemporary challenges of cyberspace.

Primarily, the traditional definition of "Evidence" under Section 3[7]. The Evidence Act, 1872, has been amended to include electronic evidence. Simultaneously, Section 4[8] of The Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008, acknowledges the acceptance of electronic matter as "written" when necessary. These amendments establish an initial acceptance of digital evidence in any legal trial.

Additionally, Section 79A of the IT (Amendment) Act, 2008 [9], explicitly defines electronic evidence as information of probative value stored or transmitted in electronic form, encompassing computer evidence, digital audio, digital video, cell phones, and digital fax machines.

Concerning the admissibility of electronic records, Section 65-B [10] of the Evidence Act, 1872, outlines various conditions for their acceptance. Since digital evidence needs to be collected and preserved in a specific form, the admissibility of storage devices containing media content from the crime scene is crucial. When reading Section 3 and Section 65-B of The Evidence Act, 1872 together, it can be inferred that certain computer outputs of the original electronic record are now deemed admissible as evidence "without proof or production of the original record." This includes matters on computer printouts, floppy disks, and CDs.

Addressing the critical question of the reliability of digital evidence in cybercrime investigations, Section 79A of the IT (Amendment) Act, 2008, empowers the Central government to designate any department or agency of the Central or State government as an Examiner of Electronic Evidence. This agency plays a vital role in offering expert opinions on the electronic form of evidence.

The CBI can also be contacted for any significant economic offense that goes beyond general and routine matters. The agency possesses an Economic Offences Division dedicated to investigating major financial scams and serious economic frauds. This includes crimes related to counterfeit Indian currency notes, bank

frauds, and cybercrimes. To address these offences efficiently, the CBI has set up specialized units as the Cyber Crimes Research and Development Unit (CCRDU), Cyber Crime Investigation Cell (CCIC), Cyber Forensics Laboratory, and Network Monitoring Centre [11].

## **9. Advanced Biometric Identification Techniques in Criminal Investigations**

The evolution of biometric identification techniques represents a significant paradigm shift in the field of criminal investigations. Traditionally relying on fingerprints as a primary means of identification, the landscape has expanded to incorporate advanced biometric modalities. Early systems focused on basic fingerprinting methods, but the evolution has brought about a comprehensive range of identification techniques, including DNA profiling, iris scanning, and facial recognition. The integration of these advanced biometric technologies has greatly enhanced the accuracy and efficiency of criminal investigations, enabling law enforcement agencies to establish robust links between suspects and crime scenes.

Examining the use of fingerprint, DNA, and iris scanning technologies:

### **1. Fingerprint Identification:**

Fingerprinting, a cornerstone in criminal investigations, has seen technological advancements with the introduction of automated fingerprint identification systems (AFIS). These systems analyze and match fingerprint patterns swiftly, significantly expediting the identification process. The evolution from manual comparison to automated systems has revolutionized the speed and accuracy with which law enforcement can link individuals to criminal activities [12].

### **2. DNA Profiling:**

The advent of DNA profiling has been a game-changer in forensic science. Analyzing unique DNA markers, investigators can establish genetic profiles that are virtually unparalleled in accuracy. DNA databases facilitate the identification of suspects, connecting them to crime scenes, victims, or other individuals. Continuous technological improvements have reduced analysis times and expanded the scope of DNA evidence in criminal investigations [13].

### **3. Iris Scanning:**

Iris scanning, a biometric modality capturing unique patterns in the iris, has gained traction in criminal identification. This technology provides a non-intrusive and highly accurate means of linking individuals to criminal activities. Iris scanning is particularly valuable in scenarios where fingerprinting might be challenging, offering an additional layer of identification capability.

Advanced biometric identification techniques have significantly advanced the capabilities of criminal investigations. However, the responsible use of these technologies demands a careful balance between their utility in solving crimes and the ethical considerations surrounding privacy, security, and potential biases. Policymakers, law enforcement agencies, and technologists must collaborate to establish robust frameworks that harness the benefits of advanced biometric identification while safeguarding individual rights and ensuring ethical practices.

## **10. Conclusion**

The TechnoDetectives study underscores the transformative impact of technology on criminal investigations in the digital age. The integration of artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and advanced biometric identification has redefined investigative practices, expediting evidence processing and facilitating precise decision-making. While showcasing the successes of technology through case studies, the study also addresses ethical dilemmas and challenges, emphasizing the need for ethical frameworks and oversight.

The application of artificial intelligence in criminal profiling, demonstrated through advancements in AI algorithms, highlights the potential for sophisticated pattern recognition and proactive crime prevention. However, the precision and reliability of AI-generated profiles necessitate careful consideration of training data biases to avoid distorted results and uphold ethical standards.

Big data analytics emerges as a game-changer in crime pattern recognition, enabling the identification of latent patterns and trends through diverse data sources. Case studies, such as the LAPD's "Predictive Policing," illustrate the practical advantages of leveraging big data analytics for public safety. Yet, challenges include data accuracy, privacy risks, and the imperative to strike a balance between crime prevention and individual rights.

Examining successful technological implementations, including the Golden State Killer and London bombings cases, highlights the role of DNA analysis and surveillance technologies in solving crimes. The importance of interagency cooperation, continuous training, and adapting to new technologies is underscored as essential for successful outcomes.

The discussion on the security and reliability of technological systems emphasizes the need for strong cybersecurity measures, careful evaluation of vulnerabilities, and a balance between technology adoption and procedural protections. Continuous training of law enforcement professionals is crucial to ensure proficiency in managing digital evidence securely.

Digital forensics, a critical tool in cybercrime investigations, faces challenges from evolving technologies and anti-forensic techniques. The section on cyber forensics in India highlights legal frameworks and amendments that acknowledge electronic evidence's admissibility and the role of specialized agencies in offering expert opinions.

Finally, the evolution of advanced biometric identification techniques, encompassing fingerprints, DNA profiling, and iris scanning, marks a significant paradigm shift in criminal investigations. While enhancing accuracy and efficiency, the responsible use of these technologies requires careful consideration of ethical implications and the protection of individual rights.

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Author Contributions

Both the authors are equally contributed to this article.

## References

- [1] Ratcliffe, J. H., Taniguchi, T., & Taylor, R. B. (2009). The crime reduction effects of public CCTV Cameras: A multi-method spatial approach. *Justice Quarterly*, 26(4), 746–770. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07418820902770433>
- [2] Mohler, G., Short, M. B., Malinowski, S., Johnson, M., Tita, G., Bertozzi, A. L., & Brantingham, P. J. (2015). Randomized controlled field trials of predictive policing. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 110(512), 1399–1411. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.2015.1077710>
- [3] The golden state killer case. From: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7219171/>
- [4] Berkowitz, & David, R. (2018). "Son of Sam" or the ".44 Caliber Killer." *The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology*, 29(5), 762-775.
- [5] Christin, N. (2013). Traveling the silk road: A measurement analysis of a large anonymous online marketplace. *Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on World Wide Web*.
- [6] Dugard, J. & Mitchell, E. (2011). A stolen life: A memoir. *Simon and Schuster*.



- [7] Section-3 of Indian Evidence Act. (1872). Interpretation-clause: Court includes all Judges and Magistrates, and all persons, except arbitrators, legally authorized to take evidence. From [https://devgan.in/iea/chapter\\_01.php](https://devgan.in/iea/chapter_01.php)
- [8] Section-4 “May presume”.--Whenever it is provided by this Act that the Court may presume a fact, it may either regard such fact as proved, unless and until it is disproved, or may call for proof of it. From [https://devgan.in/iea/chapter\\_01.php](https://devgan.in/iea/chapter_01.php)
- [9] 79A. Central Government to notify Examiner of Electronic Evidence. From <https://thelawgist.org/empowering-expert-examination-of-electronic-evidence-section-79a-of-information-technology-act-2000/>
- [10] Section 65(b) when the existence, condition or contents of the original have been proved to be admitted in writing by the person against whom it is proved or by his representative in interest. From [https://www.advocatekhaj.com/library/lawreports/indianevidenceactt/208.php?Title=Indian%20Evidence%20Act,%201872&STitle=Clause%20\(a\)-Person%20Legally%20Bound](https://www.advocatekhaj.com/library/lawreports/indianevidenceactt/208.php?Title=Indian%20Evidence%20Act,%201872&STitle=Clause%20(a)-Person%20Legally%20Bound)
- [11] Cybercrime and India. From <https://blog.ipleaders.in/cyber-forensics-law-and-practice-in-india/>
- [12] Maltoni, D., Maio, D., Jain, A. K., & Prabhakar, S. (2003). *Handbook of Fingerprint Recognition*. Springer.
- [13] Kaye, D. H., & Sensabaugh, G. F. (2000). Reference Guide on DNA Evidence. *Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence*.

Copyright © 2024 by the authors. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)).